

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/082,395	<b>Applicant(s)</b> DIEHL ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> BJ Forman	<b>Art Unit</b> 1634	

**All Participants:**

 (1) BJ Forman.

 (2) Isaac Angers.
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 29 July 2005
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*
**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 BJ FORMAN, PH.D.  
 PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Angers was contacted regarding the status of the case because the office had not received a response to the Office Action of 4 November 2004. Mr. Angers stated that the case was being revived. The examiner stated that the case would not be abandoned based on Applicant's intention to revive. Applicant was contacted again in August, but no response or petition to revive has been filed. .



**B. J. FORMAN, PH.D.**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**